

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/611,291 06/30/2003 R. Hugo Patterson 6368P002 2915 8791 01/29/2008 7590 **EXAMINER BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY STACE, BRENT S SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 01/29/2008 **PAPER** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A

• Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,291	PATTERSON, R. HUGO	
	Examiner .	Art Unit	
	Brent S. Stace	2161	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Brent S. Stace.	(3)		
(2) <u>Matthew Hindman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 January 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: 6,249,792 (Zwilling) and 5,963,9625 (Hitz).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the further proposed claim amendments</u> . <u>The further proposed claim amendments</u> . <u>The further proposed claim amendments</u> do no readily appear to overcome the cited prior art(s). No agreement was reached with respect to the claims.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
•			
	1 A A		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

**Interview Summary** 

Paper No. 20080124